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A Holistic Approach

- Mentoring
- Seed Capital / Employment
- Training
- Access to Financial Services
- Consumption Assistance

Market / Value Chain Analysis
Targeting

Start | Month 3 | Month 6 | Month 24 | Month 36

Sustainable Livelihoods
2006-2014: 10 Pilots in 8 Countries
Pooled Average Results
Overview from the Multi-site Experiment

- **PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION**
  - EL1: 0.12
  - EL2: 0.12

- **HOUSEHOLD ASSET INDEX**
  - EL1: 0.04
  - EL2: 0.08

- **FOOD SECURITY INDEX**
  - EL1: 0.11
  - EL2: 0.11

- **TOTAL AMOUNT BORROWED**
  - EL1: 0.04
  - EL2: 0.06

- **TOTAL SAVINGS**
  - EL1: 0.39
  - EL2: 0.75

- **TOTAL TIME SPENT WORKING**
  - EL1: 0.09
  - EL2: 0.05

- **PHYSICAL HEALTH INDEX**
  - EL1: 0.03
  - EL2: 0.03

- **MENTAL HEALTH INDEX**
  - EL1: 0.10
  - EL2: 0.07

- **POLITICAL INDEX**
  - EL1: 0.06
  - EL2: 0.06
Questions:
• How can Graduation increase child nutritional outcomes?
• How can Graduation + health interventions (care and/or e-messaging) increase child health outcomes?
Advancing financial inclusion to improve the lives of the poor

www.cgap.org
Targeting the ultra-poor through 'Graduation'

GRADUATION PROGRAMS FOR IMPROVED NUTRITION
Ultra-Poor Graduation
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### Overview of Webinar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part I</th>
<th>Part II</th>
<th>Part III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Approach</td>
<td><strong>Bangladesh</strong>&lt;br&gt;Impact of Graduation on Nutrition and Children</td>
<td><strong>South Sudan</strong>&lt;br&gt;Impact of Graduation on Nutrition and Children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the late 1990s, BRAC recognized a salient gap in the ability of our microfinance services to reach the poorest of the poor.

What impedes the poorest from accessing capital and financial services?
In 2002, BRAC pioneered the TUP program in Bangladesh to improve the resilience of the ultra poor and effectively address the worst forms of poverty.

Since then, enrolling 100,000 new participants each year, BRAC has scaled the Graduation approach, as it is now known, graduating 1.7 million households (6.8 million people) with a projected reach of 2 million households by 2020.

BRAC TUP pilots have been conducted in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and South Sudan, and will expand to Uganda and Tanzania.

What is Graduation?
What are common misconceptions?

**COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS:**

1. Not **exogenous exit** event after which all support is withdrawn
2. Not entail crossing an automatic income threshold, such as World Bank poverty line $1.90
3. Not a **silver bullet** and singular approach to eradicating poverty
4. Not an alternative to social protection
5. Not a contradiction to a rights-based tradition

**GRADUATION DEFINED BY:**

1. **Time-bound** intervention
2. Comprehensive set of holistic programs
3. Multifaceted response to the multidimensional needs of the most vulnerable
4. Strategic complement to social protection programs
5. Progressive realization of rights
Who are the Ultra Poor?


The ultra poor are the poorest subset of the extreme poor, living on significantly less than $1.90/day.

**MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CONSTRAINTS FACING THE ULTRA POOR**

- **Chronically food insecure**, eating less than 1,800 calories where the minimum is 2,000-2,500 based on gender, despite spending 80% or more income on food
- **Disconnected from mainstream government services**—such as school feeding and public works programs
- **Most vulnerable to health shocks and natural disasters**
- **Live in geographically isolated, hard-to-reach areas**
- **Underserved by markets** and unaffected by economic policies
- **Lack community acceptance, confidence, support systems**
- **Predominantly a female-headed household** in community with restrictive social norms
Targeting the Ultra Poor

Step 01: Targeting

BRAC relies on a triangulated targeting approach, including a participatory wealth ranking exercise, poverty mapping, and household surveys.

Poverty Mapping

1. Use poverty maps to identify areas with severe poverty and deprivation.

Wealth Ranking

2. BRAC helps the community draw a map of all the households, ranking each one from poorest to wealthiest based on their own criteria.

House Surveys

3. BRAC visits the lowest ranks of the poorest households to verify the data collected from the community.

Participation Selection
Graduation Components

Participants are directly targeted to receive a sequenced, time-bound holistic package:

- Productive Asset Transfer to Start a Small Enterprise
- Consumption Stipend (Cash Transfer)
- Hands-on Training in Technical Skills
- Home Visits for Life Skills Development
- Savings and Financial Education
- Health Care Services
- Social Integration
Graduation occurs when households achieve economic and social advancement over the course of 24 months.

**Bangladesh Graduation Criteria:**

- **Food Security and Nutrition**
  - Access to nutritious meals (2/day)

- **Economic Resilience**
  - Multiple sources of income (3)
  - Increased value of assets (doubled)
  - Improvement in home condition

- **Improved Hygiene Practices**
  - Use of sanitary latrine and clean drinking water

- **Social Inclusion and Empowerment**
  - Engagement in household decision-making
  - Attends community events

- **Positive Behavior Change**
  - School enrollment for children
  - Absence of under-age marriage
  - Use of family planning
In Bangladesh, roughly 95% of participants achieve graduation at the end of the two year period with the majority maintaining those improved conditions 7+ years later.

International pilots by CGAP and the Ford Foundation demonstrate similarly high graduation rates for participants meeting country specific criteria.
7 Year Results: Significant Reduction in Economic Inequality

Relative Gap between Ultra Poor and Non Poor

- Durable Expenditures: 12%
- Non-Durable Expenditures: 43%
- Savings: 90%
- Livestock Value: 93%
- Productive Assets: 67%
- Land Value: 51% reduction in gap

Baseline vs 7 Years
7 years later, ultra poor continue to escape poverty at a steady rate.

Key Research Conclusions and Implications

- **Significant increases in work productivity and household assets**
- **Access to more stable and secure employment** leading to positive expansion of occupation choice
- **Reduction in economic inequality** vis-à-vis the non poor
- **Builds resilience** and enables faster recovery from shocks
- **Promotes social cohesion** and gender empowerment

- **For the bottom-most** on the economic spectrum, a ‘big push’ intervention is required. This needs to be a **high value kick** and over a long enough term to generate sustainable change.

When viewed from a long-term perspective, costs are not necessarily prohibitive if impacts grow and are sustainable.
How can Graduation contribute to child-sensitive and nutritional outcomes?

• Of the 767 million people living under $1.90 per day, **385 million are children** (under the age of 18).

• Children make up around one third of the population in developing countries but **50% of those living in extreme poverty**.

• The **rate of children living in extreme poverty** (19.5%) is about twice that of adults (9.2%)

Source: World Bank and UNICEF
Benefits to mothers:

- Consumption stipend including food assistance
- Access to tube-wells & sanitary latrine
- Training on basic nutrition, sanitation, and prevention of communicable diseases
- Skilled attendants at birth at health facility or home (urban program)

Benefits to children:

- Benefit from micronutrient supplements
- Access to government immunization services
- Treatment for diarrhea
- School enrollment
- Additional hours of post-school tutoring from community members
Impact of Graduation on Nutrition and Children

Research shows positive reduction in child malnutrition

Fig 1. Trends in anthropometric indicators for children under-5 years in ultra poor and other poor households across treated and control areas

- 0-5 years olds in ultra poor households
  - Weight for height
  - Height for age
  - Weight for age

- 0-5 years olds in other poor households
  - Weight for height
  - Height for age
  - Weight for age

- Treated area in 2007
- Control area in 2007
- Treated area in 2011
- Treated area in 2011
Evidence and spillover effects from Bangladesh

1. Children under 5: reduction of **wasting** by 8% and **likelihood of being underweight** by 19%.

2. Children 6-19: reduction of **likelihood of thinness** by 4%, and **likelihood of being underweight** by 10%.

3. Increase in duration of exclusive **breastfeeding** by 73 days (75% over baseline), while spill over effect to other-poor is 52 days (49% over baseline).

4. Probability of a child receiving a **vitamin A supplement** increases more by 26% by ultra poor and 20% by other-poor

5. **Greater food security** (at least two meals/day) increases by 145 for ultra poor and 6% for other-poor

---

**Key Conclusions**

1. Participation in the program **positively impacts nutritional status** of household members

2. Impact most notable in children, in treatment and spillover

3. **Resource reallocation** from health shocks to education, better nutrition etc.

4. Exposure to Graduation results in **lasting behavior change**.

Graduation can have large positive long-term health effects, and lead to positive externalities on communities.
Impact of Graduation on Nutrition and Children

WFP TUP Nutrition Pilot

Joint WFP and BRAC initiative targeting pregnant/lactating ultra-poor women with children (0-36 mos)

In addition to typical program components, the package includes

1. Fortified supplementary food for undernourished households
   - Fortified rice kernels enhanced with essential micronutrients (vitamin A, iron, zinc, iodine, vitamin B12 and folate).
   - Premix of oil and Super Cereal through pregnancy until children reach 6 months

2. Nutrition Behavior Change Communication (BCC) for entire community
   - Training on health and nutrition, maternal & child health, hygiene and sanitation
   - Screening, detection and referral to government health service for the acute and moderately acute undernourished

Aims to:
- Prevent under-nutrition (measured through stunting) in child’s first 1,000 days
- Improve health of children & pregnant/lactating women suffering from moderate acute malnutrition
- Break intergenerational cycle of under-nutrition
BRAC South Sudan – Working in conflict affected regions
In spite of these challenges the pilot not only continued, but produced tangible impact for ultra-poor women who were among the hardest hit by ethnic conflict.

1. **Increased security risks resulting in hyperinflation**: First six months into pilot participants began paying more for commodities in the market (high inflation rates rose from 23.1% in April 2015 to 91.3% Sept 2015).

2. **Displacement**: Clashes forced participants to move to more remote villages with or without their assets, causing approx. 7% asset loss.

3. **Access to Markets**: Access to Juba, which is a main market, was heavily disrupted, marked by random armed thefts and killings which deterred commercial activity.

In spite of these challenges the pilot not only continued, but produced tangible impact for ultra-poor women who were among the hardest hit by ethnic conflict.
Impact of Graduation on Nutrition and Children
Ancillary benefits of South Sudan TUP Pilot

Evidence and spillover effects from a Pilot in South Sudan covering 250 ultra-poor households over two years.

1. 53.3% children less likely to be underweight compared to control group
2. 97% increase in TUP annual household consumption (food and non-food)
3. 1,337 jackfruit and avocado trees were provided to participants, instead of food subsidies increasing food consumption - a more sustainable solution as participants can consume the fruit they produce and expand food supply in the community.
4. 61% of women became community peer trainers in their communities with increased knowledge, skills and resources to improve the health and nutritional status for themselves and their families.

Key Conclusions

1. Pilot intervention contributed to more productive and secure livelihoods and positive nutritional outcomes
2. After harvesting, participants often gave their fruit and seeds to the other community members increasing sustainable agriculture production and higher nutritional intake.
3. Based on a rapid assessment survey, community peer trainers and influencers reported assisting at least 2 other female community members each. Resulting in a total project reach of 385 households strengthening the sustainability of the program and outcomes.
BRAC’s Graduation Approach has produced significant positive impacts on nutritional outcomes for extremely vulnerable populations and more specifically on critical indicators of child health and nutrition. But BRAC is not alone in this.

Other organizations implementing the Graduation Approach recognize the critical needs of children and are committed to finding solutions to end extreme poverty and hunger through this multidimensional and holistic approach.

FAO estimates that 795 million people, or one in nine, were suffering from chronic undernourishment in 2014-2016.
Join us in the movement to end ultra poverty.

Contact ultrapoorgraduate@bracusa.org

BRAC USA
110 William Street, 29th Floor
New York, NY 10038
USA
Reflecting on Evidence of Child-level Nutritional Outcomes and Thoughts for Child-sensitive Programming by Save the Children

Munshi Sulaiman
Save the Children
Somalia/Somaliland
What We do to Improve Child Nutrition?

- **Direct programming**
  - Nutrition education
  - Complementary feeding

- **Indirect programs** (spill over effects)
  - Food security and livelihood
    - Cash transfers
    - Agriculture
  - WASH
• **Nutrition programs are generally successful in reducing malnutrition** (Imdad et al, 2011)
  – Synthesis of evidence from 17 studies
  – Both complementary feeding and mothers education increase weight and length of 6-24 months old children
  – Both types of interventions can have positive effects without the other
  – Complementary feeding has greater effect in high food insecurity context
What Evidence is Available? (Livelihood)

• Impacts of food security and livelihoods (FSL) are not conclusive
  – Berti et al (2004) – consistent effects on agriculture, but no robust result in nutrition
  – Masset et al (2011) – little or no evidence on impact on children
  – Ruel and Alderman (2013) – inconclusive evidence of agriculture affecting child nutrition, except biofortification

• Theory of change:
  FSL → Increased income or production → Ability to access food → HH food consumption → Improved child feeding → Child health

• Inability to measure the impacts
What Does the Graduation Evidence Tell Us?

• It is not generalizable yet
  – Previous evidence from Bangladesh
  – Evidence from other initiatives

• It is possible, but not automatic

• What may have made it happen?
  – Greater impacts on livelihood?
  – Increased focus on nutrition in interventions?
  – Mechanical aspect of measurement?
Maximizing Impacts on Child Nutrition?

- **Integrating nutrition and livelihood programs**
  - Area based programming
  - Include nutritional components in FSL – e.g. IYCF
  - Include agriculture in nutrition programs – e.g. home gardening
  - Conditional transfers
  - Labelled transfers

- **Monitoring child level outcomes for greater focus on the added components**

- **Design evaluation to measure marginal impacts on child nutrition**
Thank You!